No. 14-1148

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

GEORGE W. FINCH and JOHN DENNIS HONEYCUTT,

Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,

Respondent,

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW OF AN ORDER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SUPPLEMENTAL JOINT APPENDIX

JOYCE R. BRANDA

Acting Assistant Attorney General

MICHAEL S. RAAB THOMAS PULHAM

> Attorneys, Appellate Staff Civil Division, Room 7323 U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20530 (202) 514-4332

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Pag</u>	<u>e</u>
Letter to Michael A. Hirsch, from Karla D. Whelan, Director, PACA Division, Re: File PACA T-E-2012-14 (Oct. 3, 2012)52	26
Letter to Michael A. Hirsch from Karla D. Whelan, Director, PACA Division,	
<i>Re:</i> File PACA T-E-2012-13 (Oct. 3, 2012)	28



1400 Independence Avenue, SW. Room 1510-S, STOP 0242 Washington, D.C. 20250-0201

Filed: 02/17/2015

In reply refer to File PACA T-E-2012-14

October 3, 2012

Michael A. Hirsch Schlanger, Silver, Barg & Paine, L.L.P. 109 North Post Oak Lane, Suite 300 Houston, TX 77024

Dear Mr. Hirsch:

I have determined that your client, John D. Honeycutt, was responsibly connected with Third Coast Produce Company, Ltd. (Third Coast), Houston, Texas, during the period Third Coast violated the Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act (PACA).

On February 16, 2012, USDA filed an administrative complaint against Third Coast alleging failure to pay \$514,943.40 to 21 sellers for 207 lots of produce that Third Coast purchased in the course of interstate commerce from February 2010 to July 2010. USDA cited Third Coast for repeated and flagrant violations on June 5, 2012. PACA license records show that your client was a limited partner and 32.33% shareholder of Third Coast during the violation period.

Section 1(9) of the PACA, in part, defines responsibly connected as "...affiliated or connected with a commission merchant, dealer, or broker as (A) partner in a partnership, or (B) officer, or holder of more than 10 per centum of the outstanding stock of a corporation or association."

Responsibility is placed upon partners in a partnership because their status with the company requires that they know, or should know, about violations being committed and, that they be held responsible for their failure to counteract or obviate the fault of others.

Under the circumstances, I have determined that your client was responsibly connected with Third Coast during the violation period. This is a final determination of your client's responsibly connected status by the PACA Division. Any challenge of this determination must follow the procedures outlined below and described in full detail in the Rules of Practice Governing Formal Adjudicatory Administrative Proceedings Instituted by the Secretary found at 7 C.F.R. Subpart H.

Filed: 02/17/2015

Michael A. Hirsch Page 2

If your client disagrees with my determination, a petition for review may be filed with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, OALJ, Hearing Clerk, Room 1031, South Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C, 20250-9200. The petition must be filed within 30 days from the date this letter is received, in accordance with Section 47.49 of the Rules of Practice Under the Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act, 1930.

Sincerely,

Kaula D. Whale

Karla D. Whalen Director PACA Division

cc: John D. Honeycutt

	Po Certifie Return Recier (Endorsement Req Restricted Deliver (Endorsement Req Total Postage &	stage \$ difee ulred) y Fee ulred) Fees \$ \[\text{D. Honey cu} \] 330 \(\text{Castle} \)	poste coverage Probate at www.usps.	Dome Dome Dome Dome Dome Dome Dome Dome
ENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	PS Form 3800/Jui		See Reverse (or Instructions
Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also of item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desliped item 4 if Restricted to the back of the or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Article Addressed t	ed. Preverse out. mallpiece,	A. Signature X B. Repeived by (Pfin D. is delivery address If YES, enter delivery	WA	☐ Agent ☐ Addressee ☐ Addressee ☐ Date of Delivery
		3. Service Type Certifled Mall Registered Insured Mall 4. Restricted Delivery	☐ Express Máil ☐ Return Receipt ☐ C.O.D.	for Merchandise
Article Number (Transfer from service label)	7003 31		, 14 4603	

Domestic Return Receipt

102595-02-M-1640

PS Form 3811, February 2004



1400 Independence Avenue, SW. Room 1510-S, STOP 0242 Washington, D.C. 20250-0201

> In reply refer to File PACA T-E-2012-13

October 3, 2012

Michael A. Hirsch Schlanger, Silver, Barg & Paine, L.L.P. 109 North Post Oak Lane, Suite 300 Houston, TX 77024

Dear Mr. Hirsch:

I have determined that your client, George Finch, was responsibly connected with Third Coast Produce Company, Ltd. (Third Coast), Houston, Texas, during the period Third Coast violated the Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act (PACA).

On February 16, 2012, USDA filed an administrative complaint against Third Coast alleging failure to pay \$514,943.40 to 21 sellers for 207 lots of produce that Third Coast purchased in the course of interstate commerce from February 2010 to July 2010. USDA cited Third Coast for repeated and flagrant violations on June 5, 2012. PACA license records show that your client was a limited partner and 32.33% shareholder of Third Coast during the violation period.

Section 1(9) of the PACA, in part, defines responsibly connected as "...affiliated or connected with a commission merchant, dealer, or broker as (A) partner in a partnership, or (B) officer, or holder of more than 10 per centum of the outstanding stock of a corporation or association."

Responsibility is placed upon partners in a partnership because their status with the company requires that they know, or should know, about violations being committed and, that they be held responsible for their failure to counteract or obviate the fault of others.

Under the circumstances, I have determined that your client was responsibly connected with Third Coast during the violation period. This is a final determination of your client's responsibly connected status by the PACA Division. Any challenge of this determination must follow the procedures outlined below and described in full detail in the Rules of Practice Governing Formal Adjudicatory Administrative Proceedings Instituted by the Secretary found at 7 C.F.R. Subpart H.

Filed: 02/17/2015

Michael A. Hirsch Page 2

If your client disagrees with my determination, a petition for review may be filed with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, OALJ, Hearing Clerk, Room 1031, South Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C, 20250-9200. The petition must be filed within 30 days from the date this letter is received, in accordance with Section 47.49 of the Rules of Practice Under the Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act, 1930.

Sincerely,

Karla D. Whale

Karla D. Whalen Director **PACA Division**

cc: George Finch



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 17th day of February 2015, I electronically filed the foregoing SUPPLEMENTAL JOINT APPENDIX with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system. Service was accomplished on all counsel through the CM/ECF system. I further certify that I will cause seven paper copies to be filed with the Court within three business days.

/s/ Thomas Pulham

THOMAS PULHAM
(202) 514-4332
thomas.pulham@usdoj.gov
Attorney, Appellate Staff
Civil Division, Room 7323
Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530
Attorney for Respondent